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In this paper, I intend to discuss in detail the religious ideas of Narayana Guru in the context of modernity and tradition. It may be stated at the outset that I am not alluding to tradition and modern as binary opposites nor do I seek to counterpose them as referents with watertight boundaries. However, these concepts have been conceived as binary opposites in all contemporary societies. Given this understanding, it has become customary to classify any practice in terms of this opposition between tradition and modernity. For example, traditional knowledge and modern knowledge; traditional dress and modern dress; traditional education and modern education; traditional customs and modern practices; traditional culinary practices and modern food habits; the static tradition and dynamic modernity; a list that would seem endless. But it may be possible to bypass this opposition between modernity and tradition. For instance, one could portray Guru as traditional in the sense that he followed Advaita Vedanta but, on the other hand, it is also possible to say that he was modern for he believed in equality and negated all caste distinctions. Therefore, what is required is to examine the intertwined strands of his ideas. In this context it is necessary to see the Guru as a synthesizer of the desirable aspects of modernity and tradition.

Most of the academic writings on the socio-religious history of Kerala have generally viewed the emergence of modern ideas and the development of a critical attitude towards religious superstition and social obscurantism as a consequence of the introduction of English education and institutions and the activities of Christian missionaries. While emphasizing the role of missionaries and western knowledge and ideas, the writers, have however, overlooked the potentialities of socio-religious ideas that were rooted in what is called as ‘the Indian intellectual tradition’. Had these changes been due to the impact of Western thought and ideas, instead of modernization, our society would have undergone a process of westernization. The intellectual’s quest to re-shape or reformulate the society and community did not fructify mainly because of their ambivalent, often contradictory, attitude towards
tradition and modernity. If tradition served as a powerful weapon in the efforts to realize modernity, modernity did not involve a complete rejection of the past. There is a belief that the western influence automatically leads to ‘progressive’ social and political consciousness and the traditional influence invariably develops ‘conservative’ attitudes. One of the arguments that gained predominance has been that Narayana Guru was the product of Indian intellectual tradition mainly based on the Advaita philosophy of Sankara, and that the sources of the evolution of his intellectual thought were derived from traditional knowledge. Nevertheless, rationalism and religious universalism, the two important intellectual and ideological strands of the nineteenth century, were the binding principles of Narayana Guru’s socio-religious reform activities. This may perhaps help us to question the general belief that the western influence automatically leads to ‘progressive’ social and political consciousness and that the traditional influence invariably promotes ‘conservative’ attitudes. Here the attempt is to place as well as portray Guru’s ideas as a combined outcome of both tradition and modernity as western education had already been mediated through the former.

A lot has been written on Narayana Guru, not strictly with the aid of an academic approach, but mainly journalistic, and often devoid of a critical historical perspective. Some of these writings have been undertaken with the aim of appropriating the legacy of Narayana Guru for legitimizing one or other sectarian interests. To some extent, the fairly extensive literature on Narayana Guru owes its existence to the efforts of Malayalees to orient themselves towards their contemporary social, cultural and religious needs in order to legitimate themselves with the help of the ‘past’, that is, what happened in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries of their society.

Narayana Guru belonged to a family of cultural and intellectual tradition and a community of some standing, notwithstanding his low position in the caste hierarchy. In short,
he inherited considerable cultural and social capital which made him an ‘exception’ within the general social hierarchy. Perhaps, this could have qualified him to transcend the binary mentioned above. As a result of this intellectual inheritance and cultural background Narayana Guru possessed a mind which combined brilliance and incisiveness in a finely balanced proportion. Almost all the biographers of the Guru have narrated in detail that Nanu as a boy used to reveal his innate nature very often by reacting to affairs related to religious rituals and caste sensibilities in his own naughty way. Evidently, even at that young age, when education and conscious thinking had little influence on his actions, the two significant aspects of the future Guru’s mental makeup which could be discerned even in his childhood were rationalism and humanism or humor and scepticism about the present.

There are no sources to enlighten us on whether he was associated with any teacher other than Raman Pillai or educational institutions and their curricula that may have shaped his education. The paucity of information about the formative influences on Nanu makes it difficult for us to understand his evolution as a spiritual-intellectual with a vision for the future socio-religious transformation of society.

In 1884, after the death of his father, he left home in search of Truth. During 1884-1888, he travelled extensively in South India when he came in contact with Chattampi Swamikal and Taikkattu Aiyyavu, who influenced his intellectual contours. It was possibly from Chattampi Swamikal that he acquired a critical attitude towards the scriptures as well as his notions that the Vedas were the sole preserve of the Brahmins. It was possibly also from him that he learned that non-Brahmins too had the right to consecrate idols in temples. From Thaikkattu Aiyyavu, he practiced yoga which helped him to ‘open the inner eye’. It is strongly believed that the Guru’s famous dictum “Oru Jathi, Oru Matham, Oru Daivam Manushyanu” (one caste, one religion and one God for mankind) was borrowed from
Thaikkattu Aiyyavu who had propagated, much earlier, in Tamil, *Oru Jathi than Manushyanu*, *Oru Matham than Manushyan, Oru Daivam than Manushyanu*16.

During this period he had developed deep in Sanskrit and Tamil lore and had acquired himself with various provincial cultures and also familiarized with Buddhism and Jainism17. From where or from whom he acquired this still remains an enigma. Thus, the long existing historical knowledge considerably influenced the intellectual make up of Narayana Guru18.

Narayana Guru’s socio-religious experiences during this journey also contributed, to some extent, to his intellectual transformation. It was during this period that he became especially sensitive to the social problems, particularly to those of the low castes. Unique both in appearance and demeanor when compared to conventional Sanyasi or a Sadhu, he mixed with people of all veins without being touchy about whether one belonged to this or that caste or social status19. He lived in the midst of the poor and saw for himself their grinding poverty and superstition which added to their misery and brought about their ruin20. He witnessed the oppression and injustice to which they were subjected to. This close contact with the weaker sections of the society provided him with a clear understanding of their socio-religious and economic conditions and a conception of the remedial measures. By his sheer character, personal magnetism and homely wisdom he stormed the hearts of thousands and earned the respect of even those who could not agree with his ideas and activities.

The widespread missionary activities in Travancore at a time he was socially active21 and his tour to Malabar, a predominantly Muslim area22 might have familiarized him with the dictums of Christianity and Islam. His ideas for the social and economic uplift of the lower castes might or might not have been derived from Christianity, nevertheless he was inspired by the activities of Christian missionaries23. It is possible that missionary activities and the subsequent changes in society helped Narayana Guru to introspect about the strengths and
weaknesses of traditional culture and its institutions, but it would be far-fetched to assume that the missionaries were mainly responsible for his socio-cultural ideas. It would be more appropriate to propose that the socio-cultural ideas of Narayana Guru were occasioned by the missionary presence but not created by missionaries. There seems to be no casual link between the two; in other words it is worthless to casually connect them because they had their own independent existence and functions in Travancore irrespective of their coexistence during the same historical time.

The social practices and religious beliefs prevalent in the late nineteenth century Kerala society were perceived as ‘religious superstition’ and ‘social obscurantism’\(^ {24}\). The popular notion was that Hindu religion was a compound of magic, animism and superstition\(^ {25}\). Polytheism and idolatry made religion into ceremonious rituals. The commonsensical view was that the Brahmins established their monopoly of scriptural knowledge and interpretation of rituals. As the backward communities were not generally allowed to participate in the institutionalized pattern of worship followed by the Savarnas, the religious beliefs and practices of Avarnas followed the so called ‘abominable’ practices: the worship of totems, guardian deities and demons of destruction replete with detestable rites and abhorrent practices\(^ {26}\). The worship of the snake, very popular among the Malayalees, was such an instance and skin diseases, leprosy and sterility, for example, were believed to be caused by the wrath of serpents\(^ {27}\). The practice of *Mantravada* or spells, offerings of fermented drinks and the sacrifice of cocks and goats, singing obscene songs about female genitals, copulation and masturbation and devil dancing were part of the rituals for the worship of spirits\(^ {28}\). The religious beliefs and practices were thus a mixture of magic, sorcery, witchcraft, divination and several other forms of popular religion; the forms differed slightly between the *avarnas* and savarnas. But then, the content remained the same; they being common to both of the groupings. The rites and practices observed at the time of birth, death, puberty, pregnancy,
began to be treated as absolutely absurd and irrational. It is often said that such practices had led to the ‘decadence’ of the society; it is at such sites that we find tradition becoming antithetical to modernity.

The most distressing factor, however, was caste. The practice of untouchability, unapproachability and unseeability affronted human dignity. Government offices, schools and courts were not open to low castes. They were prohibited from entering public roads, temples, palaces, etc. Thus the rules and regulations relating to caste hampered social mobility, fostered social division and sapped individual initiative.

The Guru understood the inter-dependences and inter-connections between religious and social life and also between religious beliefs and social stigmas—the implications of the prevalent form of worship on social institutions. Thus, Narayana Guru was quite aware of the material, psychological and spiritual problems that affected the deprived sections of Kerala society. The influence of this social experience in the transformation of Nanu from a school teacher to Narayana Guru the reformer is entrenched in the non-duality between tradition and modernity.

Religious Reforms and Narayana Guru

The reformers of Kerala can be distinguished into two broad categories; the first group believed that social behavior can be affected through rearticulating the existent religious idioms. The second group of reformers organized themselves under non-religious leadership and gained their ends through constitutional means and secular organizations. However, as elsewhere in India, the reformers who belonged to the first category were more successful in Kerala, than the second. This perhaps may be owing to the fact that religion was the dominant ideology of the time and it was not possible to undertake any social action without a referential
relation with it. Though the English-educated people were respected by the masses, they were not accepted as their cultural ideal. This was mainly because of their alienation both from the existent culture and their partial affinity with the emergent cultures.

Having realized the interconnection between religious beliefs and social practices, Narayana Guru started his activities by making reform resonant with religious idioms in order to legitimize the need to reform oneself and others. The Keralites met their religion at every turn—at birth, puberty, marriage, death, etc. As social life was strongly influenced by religious tenets, Narayana Guru realized that religious idioms were a necessary prerequisite for social reform. This is exemplified in the famous consecration of Shiva and the proclamation that he had consecrated “Ezhava Shiva”. In such instances, along with several others, we discern that Narayana Guru sought to replace the existing abominable religious beliefs and practices with a different form of worship. The establishment of temples and consecration of idols by the Guru was an expression of this alternative path. In contradiction to the attitude of the nineteenth century Indian intellectuals, except Sree Rama Krishna Paramhansa, Narayana Guru conceded that idolatry and rituals and the practices of traditional religion was necessary for people in the earlier stages of their religious understanding, before they could grasp the abstract truth embodied in spiritual philosophy. That is why, although he himself did not believe in idolatry, he sanctioned it as the nucleus around which an alternative form of worship could evolve.

The first step was the consecration of an idol of Shiva at Aruvippuram in 1888.

The words he inscribed on the temple wall eloquently declare his vision of universal brotherhood and oneness of man: ‘In this model place all inhabitants irrespective of caste and religion can dwell freely as brothers’. Narayana Guru wished for this temple to symbolize the brotherhood of man and equality of all before God. One of the most striking features of the religious thoughts of his reformation was a universal outlook based on the unity of Godhead.
By this consecration Narayana Guru unleashed an attack on the sacred order of Varnadharma. The consecration of Shiva thus symbolized a struggle between the status quo and change. It was on the basis of religious universalism that the Guru formulated in his 1914 doctrine, “One caste one religion and one God for mankind”. This underlines the fact that he was moving towards a universal religion based on the best tradition of Hindu theism. He indirectly declared that all believers in one God were his brethren and also advocated wide toleration in the tract on different modes of worship. To him the claim of superiority of one religion over the other stemmed from ignorance. He drew parallel with the story of the seven blind men who felt the parts of an elephant and each gave his own version as he distinguished. The Guru advised his followers, “Whatever be one’s religion it is enough that man become good,... Whatever a man does for his own pleasure shall be for the benefit of others.” The Guru’s general interest in comparative religion led to the holding of a Sarva Mata Sammelanam (All Religions Conference) on 3-4 May 1924. He declared his objective in organizing the conference saying: “To know and to make known, not to argue and win”. When the principles of different religions were discussed on a common platform, the underlying unity was easier to grasp. The principal motives of this conference were: to search for universal truth in religion, to create interest in comparative religion, to bring home to people the essential unity of all religions, and finally to create an atmosphere for developing the idea of religious universalism. Soon after the conference, he decided to start a “School of all Religions” which he called “Mata Mahapathashala” at Sivagiri in order to teach the essentials of all religions. In this sense, he was not against religiosity, but against differential treatment (often hierarchical) of people in terms of religion/caste one adhered to.

After the Aruvippuram consecration, Narayana Guru installed images in sixty-four temples in different parts of Kerala. Three distinct phases can be discerned in the establishment of these new places of worship. During the first phase gods of the Brahanical
pantheon were consecrated in place of “lower satanic gods of primitive religion”. He forbade the worship of evil spirits and keeping of images representing such beliefs in temples and houses of well-to-do Ezhavas. Their place was taken by the newly consecrated temples. He also brought about changes in the method of worship; animal sacrifices and offerings of fermented drinks were replaced by flowers and fruits.

The second phase was marked by the establishment of simple and inexpensive temples. Though these temples had idols, pujas and celebrations were dispensed with. They were, in fact, conceived more as community places with gardens and libraries which provided a serene and educative atmosphere.

The third category of temples had no idols or images, like the temples at Karamukku in which he had, in 1920, installed a lamp in place of an idol and pronounced the benediction, “Let there be light”. At Murukkupuzha, in 1921, he placed a granite slab with the inscription ‘Truth, Duty, Kindness and Peace,’ and at Kalavancode in 1927 he installed a mirror which symbolically represented the fact that god is present in the self or rather “know yourselves”. According to Nataraja Guru, “A plain mirror and lamp was installed by him at one place, so that idolatry might come into line with Self knowledge, for at least one’s own image in a mirror told no distorted lie in any hysterical terms.”

These innovations in his consecration not only differentiate his concept of temples from that of the Brahmanical ones but also illustrate his precept that ideals are more important than idols. Narayana Guru was quite different in inspiration, character, and message to the traditional saints of pre-modern and also westernized reform movements. That was his uniqueness. But his active participation in the socio-religious movement in Kerala, during the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, endowed him with the halo combining a modern Indian reformer with that of a traditional Hindu Sanyasi.
Our understanding of Narayana Guru will depend on our perception of the character of the socio-religious movements in the nineteenth century Travancore. A significant aspect of his religious reform was the freedom it afforded to an individual to be critical about one’s own tradition and one’s position within the unfolding of modern ideas and practices, without renouncing totally the former and selectively accepting the latter.

Irrespective of whether the consecrations were looked upon as sanskritization or protest, the fact that they sought to change the popular form and attitude of worship among the lower castes is undeniable. At the same time the system he created was not a replica of what the upper castes followed. It was an intervention intended to create a new mode, distinct from both the popular and the elitist. Unlike his successors in socio-religious reform, he was not demanding homogenization of identity among the lower and the upper castes through temple entry but was striving for the creation of a new religious ethos.

The objective of Narayana Guru’s religious reform efforts does not seem to be to enable the low castes to have access to the Brahmanical gods, as has been argued by Genevieve Lemercier, but to create a system of worship of their own. That Narayana Guru initially consecrated the idol of Shiva, despite his disapproval of idolatry, was an indication of the influences of both the elite and the popular culture. At the same time he was eager to create a system distinct from both which explains the changes he made in consecration during the later stages as he was himself transforming or reformulating his own selfhood.

The consecration of temples by Narayana Guru cannot be viewed either as an attempt to revive the past or as a rebuttal of it. His consecration of temples not only signified a rational approach to customary practices but also represented an effort to embellish faith with rationality. If religion did not keep pace with the demands of the times, it would get fossilized and justifiably relegated. While consecrating idols in the temples Narayana Guru was least
concerned about religious sanctions or bothered his head over whether such practices existed in the past. When the upper castes questioned his possession of scriptural authority to make him suitable to undertake consecration, the Guru replied “My consecration is of an Ezhava Shiva and not of a Brahmin Shiva”. Hearing this, the people, Savarnas and Avarnas alike, were torn between their retained sentiments and emerging commitment. The important intellectual criterion which marked the consecrations was persuasive reason and convincing rhetoric. Not very many were able to match the uncompromising reasoning of Narayana Guru. On another occasion when the Guru was installing an idol in a temple in Trivandrum, a scholar very sarcastically expressed his doubt whether the time was auspicious for such sacred function. The Guru calmly replied, “A horoscope is cast after the birth of the child. The birth does not take place according to a pre-determined auspicious time. The consecration is over. Now you can do your calculation.” His only concern at that time was the effect the consecration has on society. He was concerned about creating social mobility and promoting individual initiative rather than toeing the scriptures. Thus his consecrations broke the rules of customary practices which resulted in emotional and sentimental ruptures in society; but then, the times was such that reason prevailed over both of them.

To Narayana Guru it was immaterial whether his consecrations had the sanction of religious institutions, as his reform activities were a struggle against cultures that ought to be relegated. They posed a challenge not only to the Brahmin hegemony, but also to the senseless customs that had survived through ages as though by divine ordinance. A sense of freshness was generated through the Guru’s efforts, casting a seminal ray of light on the life of the untouchables and those unapproachable of Kerala who were, for centuries, wallowing in darkness and slavery. Temples were, to Narayana Guru, a means to an end. Once the end was achieved he did not bother about the proliferation of temples. In 1917 the Guru advised his followers, “Do not encourage construction of temples any longer; if at all any temple is to be
constructed it should be a small one. The educational institutions should be the chief
temples.°57 In the religious sphere his reforms sought to remove priestly monopoly of religious
knowledge and also to simplify religious rituals on the basis of ideals of humanism, economic
rationalism and perhaps nationalism as well. The social implication of his consecrations was to
liberate individuals from conformity born out of fear and from an uncritical submission to the
exploitation by the priests. The religious activities of Narayana Guru were an explicit expression
of his opposition to all distinctions based on caste and Varna. According to him there was no
fundamental difference between a Brahmin and a Pariah; both belonged to a single human
caste.°58 In “Jati-Mimamsa” he emphasized this oneness: terms like Brahmin and Pariah are
churned out ideas and superimposed on the reality of the humans which is essentially and
fundamentally the same.°59

The greatness of Narayana Guru was that he practiced what he preached. In other
words, he lived the life he wanted others to live. He started his crusade against Varnadharma
by liberating himself from its bondage, not by demanding rights of others but by freeing
himself of all dependence on caste practices and stipulations.°60 He was the practicing model of
“how to take care of oneself” in the changing times. The uplift of the communities, who were
lower in social status to the Ezhavas, was considered by the Guru as a part of his life’s mission.
He admitted people from all castes to temples consecrated by him. He maintained personal
relations with those who belonged to the castes lower than his in the hierarchy. In order to
uplift the conditions of the Cherumas and the Pulayas, he not only admitted them as students
but also employed them as cooks, ignoring all caste taboos.°61 The Guru felt that it was
paradoxical that while the Ezhavas were fighting against pollution practiced by the upper
castes, they were themselves treating the Pulayas and Parayas as untouchables.°62 Narayana
Guru reminded the Ezhavas that unless they changed their attitude towards the communities
considered as inferior to them in caste hierarchy, they have no right to clamor for justice.°63
With this reform in view he encouraged inter-caste marriages and inter-dining. This goes on to show that ‘going beyond’ was an integral part of his reform lexicon. Narayana Guru’s outlook on India was quite different from the upper caste elite thinkers of the Indian renaissance. As Hindu culture and the caste system rested upon Brahmanism, Narayana Guru geared his moves in opposition to cultural and ideological hegemony of Savarnas.

Consecrations of idols in temples by Narayana Guru were a move against the cultural hegemony of Brahmins and represented the first expression of social reform. To Gail Omvedt, ‘caste struggle’ like ‘class struggle’ could become revolutionary only when it poses as an alternative, a more advanced social system rather than being simply a negative protest or a competitive struggle for more economic or social–cultural rights within the framework of exploitation.” Narayana Guru’s reform activities represented the fulfilment of the renaissance’s desire for social transformation along revolutionary lines. In other words, Narayana Guru formulated a theo-ideology of reason in order to tilt social relations in such a way so that there are no ‘up’ and ‘down’ within the texture of sociality. His consecrations of idols, inscriptions and mirrors were re-formulating practices that have no precedents but gained prevalence as far as future freedom and self-fulfilment are concerned. Perhaps, that is why he was unique and has become an icon in all imaginable fields of social actions; as someone who could live a life without referential relations to both tradition and modernity. And live a life of his own as an exemplar being in this world for the future to come.
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